The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte HIROSHIA SATO and NOBUYUKI MUKAI

Application No. 09/909,885

MAILED

JAN 3 0 2007

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent
Appeals and Interferences on January 24, 2007. A review of the application has
revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal.

Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The
matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

A review of the file indicates that an Examiner's Answer was mailed on August 15, 2006. The "Evidence Relied Upon" section omits a reference to "US 7,031,943 (James et al)." However, the Examiner's Answer on page 4 relies, in part, on that reference.

As stated in the <u>Manual of Patent Examining Procedure</u> § 1207.02 Rev. 3 (August 2005) "Contents of Examiner's Answer:"

(8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and, in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the examiner to:

- 1) vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed August 15, 2006, and issue a revised Examiner's Answer correcting the information for heading "Evidence Relied Upon" section;
- 2) have a complete copy of the revised Examiner's Answer scanned into the record;
 - 3) for such action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

Dale M. Shaw

Chief Appeals Administrator

(571) 272-9797

Young & Thompson 745 South 23rd Street 2nd Floor Arlington, VA 22202

ewh